

CliC SSG 16a, January 22, 2020

On-line meeting

Participants

Fiamma Straneo, James Renwick, Lars Smedsrud, Jason Box, Martin Vancoppenolle, Helene Seroussi, Camille Lique, Tingjun Zhang, Hanne Christiansen, Amy Lovecraft, Mike Sparrow, Gwen Hamon

Excused

Tim Naish, Shin Sugiyama

Agenda

- Welcome SSG members who were not at SSG 15
- Budget review and approval
- Review of paragraph on deliverables
- Comments on SSG15 report
- Brief review of the Action Plan
- Schedule next call

MINUTES

Introduction

The meeting was held on GoToMeeting and chaired by Fiamma Straneo. SSG members who weren't at SSG15 (M. Vancoppenolle, H. Christiansen, C. Lique) introduced themselves.

2020 budget discussion

The group agreed to the budget proposal prepared by the CliC office after the following change was made: transfer of CHF 5000 for extraordinary workshops to website and other misc. expenses; delete line for 2020 SSG meeting.

It was agreed that funding will be provided when a workshop is actually organized but not for people to simply attend a conference (except if it is a travel award for scientists from underrepresented countries for instance).

Budget available at:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1dDS9d_ecSljoCY7qdbI7rejHZNoYaurGqEaiWWng06A/edit#gid=0

Funding deliverables:

The paragraph on deliverables prepared by the office was discussed and commented on as follows:

'By accepting this funding, the leads of the XXX activity agree and commit to:

- acknowledge CliC in peer- and non peer- reviewed overview publications and others when appropriate emanating from the project as follow:

'This work was supported by WCRP CliC – the Climate and Cryosphere Project of the World Climate

Research Programme.';

- ask each funded participant to provide the CliC office with a statement, figure, picture, to be posted online, including on social media, during or the day after the meeting;
- acknowledge CliC's support during the meeting by showing its logo on PPT slides;
- send a one page summary of the meeting, including the outcomes, a science highlight, a group picture, the agenda and list of participants to the CliC office at the latest 10 days after the end of the meeting. The one pager should also include the next steps and long term objectives of the activity. '

CliC SSG15 report

The group was reminded that the deadline for comments on the SSG15 report was on January 28.

CliC Action Plan

It was agreed to focus the next conference call on the current set of CliC Activities and discuss whether some of them should be terminated. The group emphasized that the activity leads should be reminded that CliC funding isn't open ended. The office will prepare a list of the current activities and their accomplishments and long term plans for the next call.

The Action Plan update will follow this discussion.

AOB

- The group agreed to not organize an SSG meeting in 2020 but rather to take the opportunity to meet at conferences/meetings . The office will send out a Doodle Poll to find out which major meetings the SSG members are planning to attend this year.
- The idea of starting a PermaMIP was discussed.
- It was mentioned that new activities should be encouraged by sending out a call.
- The need to update the website was emphasized as a high priority.

Next call

The 2nd 2020 Call will be held the week of February 24. The office will send a Doodle Poll to find a suitable date.

The call will focus on:

- review of ongoing CliC activities
- identify research gaps
- encourage phasing out of some activities when appropriate.

SSG 16b, September 15, 2020

On-line

AGENDA: Points to be discussed during the JSC/CliC on-line meeting in November 2020

Internal review to see how CliC fits in the LHA

- concerns expressed about the new structure – some SSG members are worried that it seems like CliC might disappear
- is it a matter of categorizing each CliC activity under a LHA?
- fixed term projects – CliC activities benefit from the CliC endorsement but will continue regardless – difficult process to request an end date to the ongoing CliC activities. CliC doesn't have the power to end any of its activities. CliC would rather lift up the science ongoing in its activities to the LHA level.
- CliC could launch an open call for activities that fit under the LHAs and need some support to get started – CliC can be a facilitator and suggest new ideas in this process.
- involvement of JSC-CliC liaisons during this process is extremely helpful

General comments on LHA

- Concerns about all the capacity (financial and human) required to implement LHAs – Core Projects support annual meetings – who will be in charge of the science/research in the LHA?
- CliC has successful MIPs under its umbrella – these activities shouldn't necessarily have an end date although some of them have been going on for a long time – what is the mechanism to make the science coming from these MIPs available for the LHA? The MIPs are endorsed by CliC but the science will continue with or without this endorsement – the leads of these activities must be kept in the loop before we decide to 'move' their activities under an LHA.
- The effort to make science available for society is understood and appreciated but what will be the mechanism behind it, how is it going to happen?

Regional/LHA Representatives

What will be the exact role of these representatives and what is expected from them? CliC has provided names for 2 regions (Africa and Asia/Oceania) but would like to know more on what is expected from the representatives before nominating the LHA representatives.

Extraordinary JSC meeting in November

What is the format of the input of the internal review expected from the Core Projects?